REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action mailed January 11, 2008, claims 1 – 4 were rejected. In response, Applicant has amended claims 1 and 4 and hereby requests reconsideration of the amplication in view of the amendment and the below-provided remarks.

For reference, claim 4 is amended to correct a grammatical error,

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Specifically, the Office Action states that there is insufficient antecedent basis in claim 1 for the limitation "lattice grids." In response, Applicant has amended claim 1 to particularly point out that each control module field is distributed among several "lattice *fields*" instead of several "lattice grids." Support for this amendment is found in Applicant's specification at, for example, paragraph [0023] (U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0158798).

In view of the above-identified amendment, Applicant respectfully asserts that amended claim 1 particularly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Claims 2-4 are dependent on claim 1. Applicant respectfully asserts that the rejection of claims 2-4 should be withdrawn based upon the amendment to claim 1.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims in view of the amendments and the remarks made herein. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account **50-3444** pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.25. Additionally, please charge any fees to Deposit Account **50-3444** under 37 C.F.R. 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21.

Respectfully submitted,

/mark a. wilson/

Date: April 9, 2008 Mark A. Wilson Reg. No. 43,994

> Wilson & Ham PMB: 348 2530 Berryessa Road San Jose, CA 95132

Phone: (925) 249-1300 Fax: (925) 249-0111